DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

10 1 6 2001

CREATIVE TRUST, INC.

1555 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard, Suite 1100 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (561) 686-2000 fax (561) 686-5553

March 9, 2001

RECEIVED

MAR 1 6 2001

Federal Communications Commission Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas 445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204 Washington, D.C. 20554

FCC MAIL ROOM

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98".

Our company operates a business in Palm Beach County, Florida, and as such we feel very strongly that our County should retain its current area code designation of (561), without an additional overlay. At a minimum the current system should be retained for a three year period, as supported by the Public Service Commission.

Our concerns over instituting a ten-digit "overlay" dialing system in Palm Beach County go beyond the obvious negative economic impact on our business resulting from increased costs in telephone system changes and changes in collateral advertising (both print and media), among others. The concern is a county wide one.

Many small businesses in our community will be unable to bear the costs associated with an overlay system and a therefore a needed economic base will suffer. In addition, our county is has a very large elderly population. These people struggle on a daily basis with the ever increasing technological complexities and the overlay system will only exacerbate their ability to obtain needed products and services. The costs to governmental county operations that will be associated with an overlay system is unknown, but they are sure to mirror or exceed those that will be experienced by the business community. Those public costs will ultimately have to be borne by the residents and business concerns located in the County in the form of increases in taxes.

We believe that alternatives to an overlay system or technological advancements can be realized in the three year period that we urge you to continue to permit Palm Beach County to maintain its current dialing system.

Thank you for your consideration.

Ron Cooper

Vice President

No. of Copies rec'd_ List A B C D E

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

NATIONAL INVESTMENT COMPANY

POST OFFICE BOX 3267
WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33402

S., LLWYD ECCLESTONE
CHA RMAN

Federal Communications Commission Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas 445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204 Washington, D.C. 20554 MAR 1 6 2001

ECEIVED

TELEPHONE 561 / 686-2000 44X 561/686-5553

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98".

Our company operates a business in Palm Beach County, Florida, and as such we feel very strongly that our County should retain its current area code designation of (561), without an additional overlay. At a minimum the current system should be retained for a three year period, as supported by the Public Service Commission.

Our concerns over instituting a ten-digit "overlay" dialing system in Palm Beach County go beyond the obvious negative economic impact on our business resulting from increased costs in telephone system changes and changes in collateral advertising (both print and media), among others. The concern is a county wide one.

Many small businesses in our community will be unable to bear the costs associated with an overlay system and a therefore a needed economic base will suffer. In addition, our county is has a very large elderly population. These people struggle on a daily basis with the ever increasing technological complexities and the overlay system will only exacerbate their ability to obtain needed products and services. The costs to governmental county operations that will be associated with an overlay system is unknown, but they are sure to mirror or exceed those that will be experienced by the business community. Those public costs will ultimately have to be borne by the residents and business concerns located in the County in the form of increases in taxes.

We believe that alternatives to an overlay system or technological advancements can be realized in the three year period that we urge you to continue to permit Palm Beach County to maintain its current dialing system.

Thank you for your consideration.

NOW Some

Executive Vice-President

No. of Copies rec'd_ List A B C D F

FLORIDA MANAGEMENT COMPANY

POST OFFICE BOX 3267
WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33402

E.LLWYD ECCLESTONE, JR.
PRESIDENT

RECEIVED

TELEPHONE 407 /686-2000

MAR 1 6 2001

Federal Communications Commission Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas 445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204 Washington, D.C. 20554 MAIL ROOMPOKET FILE CORY DOIGHNAL

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98".

As business operators in Palm Beach County, Florida we strongly urge the FCC to adopt the three-year interim plan regarding the proposed ten-digit overlay system endorsed by the Public Services Commission. This plan would permit Palm Beach County to retain its current dialing system, without overlay, and provide a new area code to Indian River, St. Lucie and Martin Counties, our neighbors to the north. These communities would then have an integrated system for their use in what is commonly referred to as the "Treasure Coast".

This solution benefits the elderly, who have difficulty with technological changes, the business community, who would bear the brunt of costs of telephone, computer and advertising changes and residential telephone users, with computer based systems which would require upgrades. Alternatives to an overlay, implementation of conservation measures, or perhaps advances in telephonic technology, could be investigated during this three-year period, to avoid having to change the existing system for an indeterminate period of time.

In addition, the retention of the Palm Beach County system and the creation of a new area code for the "Treasure Coast" will reinforce to the residents of each a sense of belonging to the community in which they reside.

Please adopt the Florida state plan, as endorsed by the Public Service Commission.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Pat Bishop

Vice President

No. of Copies rec'd_ List A B C D E

Hotel Services Group, Inc.

RECEIVED

MAR 1 6 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas 445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98".

Our company operates a business in Palm Beach County, Florida, and as such we feel very strongly that our County should retain its current area code designation of (561), without an additional overlay. At a minimum the current system should be retained for a three year period, as supported by the Public Service Commission.

Our concerns over instituting a ten-digit "overlay" dialing system in Palm Beach County go beyond the obvious negative economic impact on our business resulting from increased costs in telephone system changes and changes in collateral advertising (both print and media), among others. The concern is a county wide one.

Many small businesses in our community will be unable to bear the costs associated with an overlay system and a therefore a needed economic base will suffer. In addition, our county is has a very large elderly population. These people struggle on a daily basis with the ever increasing technological complexities and the overlay system will only exacerbate their ability to obtain needed products and services. The costs to governmental county operations that will be associated with an overlay system is unknown, but they are sure to mirror or exceed those that will be experienced by the business community. Those public costs will ultimately have to be borne by the residents and business concerns located in the County in the form of increases in taxes.

We believe that alternatives to an overlay system or technological advancements can be realized in the three year period that we urge you to continue to permit Palm Beach County to maintain its current dialing system.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Pat Bishop

Vice President

No. of Copies rec'd (

GENERAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES

POST OFFICE BOX 3267
WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33402

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

Federal Communications Commission

Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas

445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204

Washington, D.C. 20554

MAR 1 6 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

TELEPHONE 561/686-2000 FAX

561/686-5553 **All ROOM**

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98".

Our company operates a business in Palm Beach County, Florida, and as such we feel very strongly that our County should retain its current area code designation of (561), without an additional overlay. At a minimum the current system should be retained for a three year period, as supported by the Public Service Commission.

Our concerns over instituting a ten-digit "overlay" dialing system in Palm Beach County go beyond the obvious negative economic impact on our business resulting from increased costs in telephone system changes and changes in collateral advertising (both print and media), among others. The concern is a county wide one.

Many small businesses in our community will be unable to bear the costs associated with an overlay system and a therefore a needed economic base will suffer. In addition, our county is has a very large elderly population. These people struggle on a daily basis with the ever increasing technological complexities and the overlay system will only exacerbate their ability to obtain needed products and services. The costs to governmental county operations that will be associated with an overlay system is unknown, but they are sure to mirror or exceed those that will be experienced by the business community. Those public costs will ultimately have to be borne by the residents and business concerns located in the County in the form of increases in taxes.

We believe that alternatives to an overlay system or technological advancements can be realized in the three year period that we urge you to continue to permit Palm Beach County to maintain its current dialing system.

Thank you for your consideration.

Pat Bishop

Vice President^v

io. of Copies rec'd_

. ist A B C D E

PGA OF AMERICA OFFICE CENTER FILE COPY ORIGINAL

P.O. BOX 3267 PALM BEACH, FL 33402

E. LLWYD ECCLESTONE CHAIRMAN

RECEIVED

TELEPHONE 561/686-2000

MAR 1 6 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

FAX

561/686-5553

Federal Communications Commission

Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas

445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204

Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98%.

Our company operates a business in Palm Beach County, Florida, and as such we feel very strongly that our County should retain its current area code designation of (561), without an additional overlay. At a minimum the current system should be retained for a three year period, as supported by the Public Service Commission.

Our concerns over instituting a ten-digit "overlay" dialing system in Palm Beach County go beyond the obvious negative economic impact on our business resulting from increased costs in telephone system changes and changes in collateral advertising (both print and media), among others. The concern is a county wide one.

Many small businesses in our community will be unable to bear the costs associated with an overlay system and a therefore a needed economic base will suffer. In addition, our county is has a very large elderly population. These people struggle on a daily basis with the ever increasing technological complexities and the overlay system will only exacerbate their ability to obtain needed products and services. The costs to governmental county operations that will be associated with an overlay system is unknown, but they are sure to mirror or exceed those that will be experienced by the business community. Those public costs will ultimately have to be borne by the residents and business concerns located in the County in the form of increases in taxes.

We believe that alternatives to an overlay system or technological advancements can be realized in the three year period that we urge you to continue to permit Palm Beach County to maintain its current dialing system.

Thank you for your consideration.

\$incerely,

Nannette Gammon General Counsel

No. of Copies rec'd

List A B C D E

MAR 1 6 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

LIGHT HARBOR MARINA, INC. P.O. Box 3267 West Palm Beach, Florida (561) 686-2000

March 9, 2001

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas 445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98".

Our company operates a business in Palm Beach County, Florida, and as such we feel very strongly that our County should retain its current area code designation of (561), without an additional overlay. At a minimum the current system should be retained for a three year period, as supported by the Public Service Commission.

Our concerns over instituting a ten-digit "overlay" dialing system in Palm Beach County go beyond the obvious negative economic impact on our business resulting from increased costs in telephone system changes and changes in collateral advertising (both print and media), among others. The concern is a county wide one.

Many small businesses in our community will be unable to bear the costs associated with an overlay system and a therefore a needed economic base will suffer. In addition, our county is has a very large elderly population. These people struggle on a daily basis with the ever increasing technological complexities and the overlay system will only exacerbate their ability to obtain needed products and services. The costs to governmental county operations that will be associated with an overlay system is unknown, but they are sure to mirror or exceed those that will be experienced by the business community. Those public costs will ultimately have to be borne by the residents and business concerns located in the County in the form of increases in taxes.

ist A B C D E

We believe that alternatives to an overlay system or technological advancements can be realized in the three year period that we urge you to continue to permit Palm Beach County to maintain its current dialing system.

Thank you for your consideration.

h .

Nannette Gammon

General Counsel

P.O. Box 3267 West Palm Beach, Florida (561) 686-2000

RECEIVED

MAR 1 6 2001

March 9, 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

Federal Communications Commission Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas 445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98".

Our company operates a business in Palm Beach County, Florida, and as such we feel very strongly that our County should retain its current area code designation of (561), without an additional overlay. At a minimum the current system should be retained for a three year period, as supported by the Public Service Commission.

Our concerns over instituting a ten-digit "overlay" dialing system in Palm Beach County go beyond the obvious negative economic impact on our business resulting from increased costs in telephone system changes and changes in collateral advertising (both print and media), among others. The concern is a county wide one.

Many small businesses in our community will be unable to bear the costs associated with an overlay system and a therefore a needed economic base will suffer. In addition, our county is has a very large elderly population. These people struggle on a daily basis with the ever increasing technological complexities and the overlay system will only exacerbate their ability to obtain needed products and services. The costs to governmental county operations that will be associated with an overlay system is unknown, but they are sure to mirror or exceed those that will be experienced by the business community. Those public costs will ultimately have to be borne by the residents and business concerns located in the County in the form of increases in taxes.

We believe that alternatives to an overlay system or technological advancements can be realized in the three year period that we urge you to continue to permit Palm Beach County to maintain its current dialing system.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely

Ron Cooper Vice President

E. LLWYD ECCLESTONE

POST OFFICE BOX 3267 WEST PALM BEACH, FLORIDA 33402

> TELEPHONE 561/686-2000 FAX 561/686-5553

Federal Communications Commission DOCKET FILE COPYRIGINAL VED

Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas

445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204

Washington, D.C. 20554

MAR 1 6 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98"

Our company operates a business in Palm Beach County, Florida, and as such we feel very strongly that our County should retain its current area code designation of (561), without an additional overlay. At a minimum the current system should be retained for a three year period, as supported by the Public Service Commission.

Our concerns over instituting a ten-digit "overlay" dialing system in Palm Beach County go beyond the obvious negative economic impact on our business resulting from increased costs in telephone system changes and changes in collateral advertising (both print and media), among others. The concern is a county wide one.

Many small businesses in our community will be unable to bear the costs associated with an overlay system and a therefore a needed economic base will suffer. In addition, our county is has a very large elderly population. These people struggle on a daily basis with the ever increasing technological complexities and the overlay system will only exacerbate their ability to obtain needed products and services. The costs to governmental county operations that will be associated with an overlay system is unknown, but they are sure to mirror or exceed those that will be experienced by the business community. Those public costs will ultimately have to be borne by the residents and business concerns located in the County in the form of increases in taxes.

We believe that alternatives to an overlay system or technological advancements can be realized in the three year period that we urge you to continue to permit Palm Beach County to maintain its current dialing system.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely.

F I lwvd Ecclestone

No. of Copies rec'd (

List A B C D E



PGA NATIONAL RESORT & SPA

RECE ED

MAR 1 6 7101

FCC MAR IN M

PGA CHAMPIONSHIPS 1982-1996 PGA Seniors' Championship 1980-1987, 1989, 1990-1992 PGA Jr. Championship 1987 PGA Championship

PGA Championship 1980-1982, 1984, 1993 PGA Club Professional Championship 1983 Ryder Cup

1982 Grand Slam of Golf 1991 World Big Tour Match

TENNIS CHAMPIONSHIPS WITA TOURNAMENTS 1986 Pringle's Light Classic 1985 Ford Challenge Cup 1984 Virginia Slims of Florida 1983 Murjani Cup 1982 Gitizen's Cup

CROQUET CHAMPIONSHIPS 1987-1995 USCA National Club Team Open Championship 1987, 1989, 1991, 1993 USCA Florida State Championship 1990, 1992 USCA National International Rules Championship 1988-1989, 1991-1992 President's Matches 1989, 1991, 1994 Solomon Trophy 1988, 1990-1991, 1994 US/International Challenge Cup 1988-1989 USCA National Singles & Doubles Championships

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Federal Communications Commission Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas 445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98"

As business operators in Palm Beach County, Florida we strongly urge the FCC to adopt the three-year interim plan regarding the proposed ten-digit overlay system endorsed by the Public Services Commission. This plan would permit Palm Beach County to retain its current dialing system, without overlay, and provide a new area code to Indian River, St. Lucie and Martin Counties, our neighbors to the north. These communities would then have an integrated system for their use in what is commonly referred to as the "Treasure Coast".

This solution benefits the elderly, who have difficulty with technological changes, the business community, who would bear the brunt of costs of telephone, computer and advertising changes and residential telephone users, with computer based systems which would require upgrades. Alternatives to an overlay, implementation of conservation measures, or perhaps advances in telephonic technology, could be investigated during this three-year period, to avoid having to change the existing system for an indeterminate period of time.

In addition, the retention of the Palm Beach County system and the creation of a new area code for the "Treasure Coast" will reinforce to the residents of each a sense of belonging to the community in which they reside.

Please adopt the Florida state plan, as endorsed by the Public Service Commission.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Ron Cooper

Executive Vice-President

No. of Copies rec'd

LIST A B C D E

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

3/10/01

RECEIVED

4025 Frances Dirve Delray Beach, FL 33445 MAR 1 6 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204 Washington, DC 20554

ATTN: Ms. Magalie Salas

We are writing regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98" We urge you to keep the 561 area code solely for the residents of Palm Beach County. We understand that this would eliminate the need for 10-didgit dialing.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Cordially,

No. of Copies rec'd_ List A B C D E

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

MAR 1 6 2001

FCC MAIL ROOM

FCC:

March 11, 2001

We are writing regarding DA # 01-341/Dk:# 96-98. ✓ We have heard many people discussing this issue and our informal survey shows everyone is in favor of keeping area code 561 for this county.

We urge you to keep the the 561 area code for Palm Beach County, Florida, rather than change to an overlay in the system which would require 10 digit dialing.

This proposed action would result in much confusion in an area where many older citizens live.

Phyllis and Gerald Golden 4539 Bocaire Blvd Boca Raton, Florida 33487

> No. of Copies rec'd ______ List A B C D E

ATTA: 1 ho Magalie Salas / Feb. Communications Lam writing regarden RECEIVED DA#01-341/DK.# 96-98/ MAR 1 6 2001 Tamin support of Horda Public ROOM Commession Petition of would be most unhappy if Inceded to deal an area code to speak to my neet door neighter. Please symathize with mine and the feelings I many others. Sandra Lewis Edison 22591 Esplanado Os Bora Raton 4. 33433 (561)395 1260 email - SLEdison @ ADL. Com

No. of Copies rec'd (

RECEIVED

AMERICAN TRADING

MAR 1 6 2001 FCC MAIL ROOM 1555 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard, Suite 1100 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (561) 686-2000 fax (561) 686-5553

Federal Communications Commission Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas 445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204 Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98"

As business operators in Palm Beach County, Florida we strongly urge the FCC to adopt the three-year interim plan regarding the proposed ten-digit overlay system endorsed by the Public Services Commission. This plan would permit Palm Beach County to retain its current dialing system, without overlay, and provide a new area code to Indian River, St. Lucie and Martin Counties, our neighbors to the north. These communities would then have an integrated system for their use in what is commonly referred to as the "Treasure Coast".

This solution benefits the elderly, who have difficulty with technological changes, the business community, who would bear the brunt of costs of telephone, computer and advertising changes and residential telephone users, with computer based systems which would require upgrades. Alternatives to an overlay, implementation of conservation measures, or perhaps advances in telephonic technology, could be investigated during this three-year period, to avoid having to change the existing system for an indeterminate period of time.

In addition, the retention of the Palm Beach County system and the creation of a new area code for the "Treasure Coast" will reinforce to the residents of each a sense of belonging to the community in which they reside.

Please adopt the Florida state plan, as endorsed by the Public Service Commission.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

E. Llwvd Ecclestone

No. of Copies rec'd_List A B C D F

RECORD SECRET BANK TOWER

Management Office: 561-686-1555

1555 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard, Suite 1100 West Palm Beach, FL 33401

Fax: 561-686-5553

Corporate Office: 561-686-2000

LOOKET FILE OURY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

MAR 1 6 2001

Federal Communications Commission

Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas

445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204

Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98"

Our company operates a business in Palm Beach County, Florida, and as such we feel very strongly that our County should retain its current area code designation of (561), without an additional overlay. At a minimum the current system should be retained for a three year period, as supported by the Public Service Commission.

Our concerns over instituting a ten-digit "overlay" dialing system in Palm Beach County go beyond the obvious negative economic impact on our business resulting from increased costs in telephone system changes and changes in collateral advertising (both print and media), among others. The concern is a county wide one.

Many small businesses in our community will be unable to bear the costs associated with an overlay system and a therefore a needed economic base will suffer. In addition, our county is has a very large elderly population. These people struggle on a daily basis with the ever increasing technological complexities and the overlay system will only exacerbate their ability to obtain needed products and services. The costs to governmental county operations that will be associated with an overlay system is unknown, but they are sure to mirror or exceed those that will be experienced by the business community. Those public costs will ultimately have to be borne by the residents and business concerns located in the County in the form of increases in taxes.

We believe that alternatives to an overlay system or technological advancements can be realized in the three year period that we urge you to continue to permit Palm Beach County to maintain its current dialing system.

Thank you for your consideration.

Andrew Deitz
Property Manager

No. of Copies rec'd_i



Federal Communications Commission DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas

445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204

Washington, D.C. 20554

FCC MAIL ROOM

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98".

Our company operates a business in Palm Beach County, Florida, and as such we feel very strongly that our County should retain its current area code designation of (561), without an additional overlay. At a minimum the current system should be retained for a three year period, as supported by the Public Service Commission.

Our concerns over instituting a ten-digit "overlay" dialing system in Palm Beach County go beyond the obvious negative economic impact on our business resulting from increased costs in telephone system changes and changes in collateral advertising (both print and media), among others. The concern is a county wide one.

Many small businesses in our community will be unable to bear the costs associated with an overlay system and a therefore a needed economic base will suffer. In addition, our county is has a very large elderly population. These people struggle on a daily basis with the ever increasing technological complexities and the overlay system will only exacerbate their ability to obtain needed products and services. The costs to governmental county operations that will be associated with an overlay system is unknown, but they are sure to mirror or exceed those that will be experienced by the business community. Those public costs will ultimately have to be borne by the residents and business concerns located in the County in the form of increases in taxes.

We believe that alternatives to an overlay system or technological advancements can be realized in the three year period that we urge you to continue to permit Palm Beach County to maintain its current dialing system.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Nannette Gammon General Counsel

istABCDE

MAR 1 6 2001 BLUE GREEN ENTERPRISES, INC.

West Palm Beach, Florida 33401
(561) 686-2000 fax (561) 686-5553

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

March 9, 2001

Federal Communications Commission Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas 445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98",

Our company operates a business in Palm Beach County, Florida, and as such we feel very strongly that our County should retain its current area code designation of (561), without an additional overlay. At a minimum the current system should be retained for a three year period, as supported by the Public Service Commission.

Our concerns over instituting a ten-digit "overlay" dialing system in Palm Beach County go beyond the obvious negative economic impact on our business resulting from increased costs in telephone system changes and changes in collateral advertising (both print and media), among others. The concern is a county wide one.

Many small businesses in our community will be unable to bear the costs associated with an overlay system and a therefore a needed economic base will suffer. In addition, our county is has a very large elderly population. These people struggle on a daily basis with the ever increasing technological complexities and the overlay system will only exacerbate their ability to obtain needed products and services. The costs to governmental county operations that will be associated with an overlay system is unknown, but they are sure to mirror or exceed those that will be experienced by the business community. Those public costs will ultimately have to be borne by the residents and business concerns located in the County in the form of increases in taxes.

We believe that alternatives to an overlay system or technological advancements can be realized in the three year period that we urge you to continue to permit Palm Beach County to maintain its current dialing system.

Thank you for your consideration.

[

Nannette Gammon

General Counsel

No. of Copies rec'd ()

LAKEVIEW INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP OKEECHOBEE INVESTMENT PARTNERSHIP

1555 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard **Suite 1100** West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (561) 686-2000 fax (561) 686-5553

March 9, 2001

FCC MAIL RUU...

Federal Communications Commission Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas 445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204 Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98"

As business operators in Palm Beach County, Florida we strongly urge the FCC to adopt the three-year interim plan regarding the proposed ten-digit overlay system endorsed by the Public Services Commission. This plan would permit Palm Beach County to retain its current dialing system, without overlay, and provide a new area code to Indian River, St. Lucie and Martin Counties, our neighbors to the north. These communities would then have an integrated system for their use in what is commonly referred to as the "Treasure Coast".

This solution benefits the elderly, who have difficulty with technological changes, the business community, who would bear the brunt of costs of telephone, computer and advertising changes and residential telephone users, with computer based systems which would require upgrades. Alternatives to an overlay, implementation of conservation measures, or perhaps advances in telephonic technology, could be investigated during this three-year period, to avoid having to change the existing system for an indeterminate period of time.

In addition, the retention of the Palm Beach County system and the creation of a new area code for the "Treasure Coast" will reinforce to the residents of each a sense of belonging to the community in which they reside.

Please adopt the Florida state plan, as endorsed by the Public Service Commission.

Thank you.

incerely.

General Counsel

No. of Copies rec'd

INTERIOR DESIGN SOLUTIONS, INC

1555 Palm Beach Lakes Boulevard, Suite 1100 West Palm Beach, Florida 33401 (561) 686-2000 fax (561) 686-5553

RECEIVED

MAR 16 2001

March 6, 2001

DOCKET FILE COPY GRICHMIL ROOM

Federal Communications Commission Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas 445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204 Washington, D.C. 20554

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98".

Our company operates a business in Palm Beach County, Florida, and as such we feel very strongly that our County should retain its current area code designation of (561), without an additional overlay. At a minimum the current system should be retained for a three year period, as supported by the Public Service Commission.

Our concerns over instituting a ten-digit "overlay" dialing system in Palm Beach County go beyond the obvious negative economic impact on our business resulting from increased costs in telephone system changes and changes in collateral advertising (both print and media), among others. The concern is a county wide one.

Many small businesses in our community will be unable to bear the costs associated with an overlay system and a therefore a needed economic base will suffer. In addition, our county is has a very large elderly population. These people struggle on a daily basis with the ever increasing technological complexities and the overlay system will only exacerbate their ability to obtain needed products and services. The costs to governmental county operations that will be associated with an overlay system is unknown, but they are sure to mirror or exceed those that will be experienced by the business community. Those public costs will ultimately have to be borne by the residents and business concerns located in the County in the form of increases in taxes.

We believe that alternatives to an overlay system or technological advancements can be realized in the three year period that we urge you to continue to permit Palm Beach County to maintain its current dialing system.

Thank you for your consideration.

& Eccelston

Chairman

Sincerely.

No. of Copies rec'd

List A B C D E

DEITZ REALTY COMPANY

Commercial Brokerage, Leasing and Management

Federal Communications Commission

Attn: Ms. Magalie Salas

445 12th Street SW, Room TW-B204

Washington, D.C. 20554

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

ECEIVED

MAR 1 6 2001

CC MAIL ROOM

Re: Comments in Support of Florida Public Commission Petition

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is being written regarding "DA No. 01-341"/Dkt No. 96-98".

Our company operates a business in Palm Beach County, Florida, and as such we feel very strongly that our County should retain its current area code designation of (561), without an additional overlay. At a minimum the current system should be retained for a three year period, as supported by the Public Service Commission.

Our concerns over instituting a ten-digit "overlay" dialing system in Palm Beach County go beyond the obvious negative economic impact on our business resulting from increased costs in telephone system changes and changes in collateral advertising (both print and media), among others. The concern is a county wide one.

Many small businesses in our community will be unable to bear the costs associated with an overlay system and a therefore a needed economic base will suffer. In addition, our county is has a very large elderly population. These people struggle on a daily basis with the ever increasing technological complexities and the overlay system will only exacerbate their ability to obtain needed products and services. The costs to governmental county operations that will be associated with an overlay system is unknown, but they are sure to mirror or exceed those that will be experienced by the business community. Those public costs will ultimately have to be borne by the residents and business concerns located in the County in the form of increases in taxes.

We believe that alternatives to an overlay system or technological advancements can be realized in the three year period that we urge you to continue to permit Palm Beach County to maintain its current dialing system.

Thank you for your consideration.

Andrew Deitz

Sincerel

General Manager

io. of Copies rec'd 📿

Jist A B C D E